Table	1.	Fraction	al	atomic	сос	ordinates	an	d e	quivalent
		isotropic	di	splacem	ent	paramete	ers (′Ų)

$B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$					
	x	у	z	B_{eq}	
O(1)	0.4421 (2)	1/4	0.1122 (2)	5.63 (9)	
O(2)	0.2731 (2)	1/4	0.0469 (2)	6.28 (9)	
O(3)	0.3725(1)	1/4	0.3227(1)	3.33 (6)	
N(1)	0.3408 (2)	1/4	0.1247 (2)	4.21 (9)	
N(2)	0.4677 (2)	1/4	0.5401 (2)	3.59 (8)	
N(3)	0.5048 (2)	1/4	0.6525 (2)	3.52 (7)	
N(4)	0.4365 (2)	1/4	0.7451 (2)	4.72 (9)	
N(5)	0.6190 (2)	1/4	0.7968 (2)	4.37 (9	
C(1)	0.2966 (2)	1/4	0.2374 (2)	3.41 (9)	
C(2)	0.1908 (2)	1/4	0.2736(2)	4.4(1)	
C(3)	0.1992 (2)	1/4	0.3934 (2)	4.8(1)	
C(4)	0.3090(2)	1/4	0.4203 (2)	3.65 (9)	
C(5)	0.3618 (2)	1/4	0.5299 (2)	3.80 (9)	
C(6)	0.5100 (3)	1/4	0.8280 (2)	4.9(1)	
C(7)	0.6117 (2)	1/4	0.6850 (2)	3.87 (9	

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

O(1) - N(1)	1.220 (3)	O(2) - N(1)	1.218 (3
O(3)-C(1)	1.349 (3)	O(3)-C(4)	1.372 (3
N(1) - C(1)	1.421 (3)	N(2)—N(3)	1.389 (3
N(2)-C(5)	1.271 (3)	N(3)—N(4)	1.357 (3
N(3)—C(7)	1.333 (3)	N(4)—C(6)	1.309 (4
N(5)-C(6)	1.353 (4)	N(5)C(7)	1.312 (3
C(1)-C(2)	1.334 (4)	C(2)—C(3)	1.406 (4
C(3)—C(4)	1.350 (4)	C(4)—C(5)	1.430 (3
C(1)-O(3)-C(4)	104.2 (1)	O(3)—C(1)—C(2)	113.7 (2
O(1)-N(1)-O(2)	124.7 (2)	N(1) - C(1) - C(2)	130.3 (2
O(1) - N(1) - C(1)	118.7 (2)	C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	104.4 (2
O(2) - N(1) - C(1)	116.6 (2)	C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	107.6 (2
N(3) - N(2) - C(5)	114.0 (2)	O(3) - C(4) - C(3)	110.1 (2
N(2)—N(3)—N(4)	124.4 (2)	O(3) - C(4) - C(5)	120.2 (2
N(2)-N(3)-C(7)	125.2 (2)	C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	129.7 (2
N(4)—N(3)—C(7)	110.4 (2)	N(2) - C(5) - C(4)	121.6 (2
N(3)—N(4)—C(6)	100.9 (2)	N(4)-C(6)-N(5)	116.5 (2
C(6)—N(5)—C(7)	101.8 (2)	N(3)—C(7)—N(5)	110.4 (2
O(3) - C(1) - N(1)	115.9 (2)		

Table 3. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °)

D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D—H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$
$C(6) \rightarrow H(C6) \cdots O(1^{i})$	0.938 (4)	2.492 (3)	3.425 (3)	173.1 (6)
$C(7)$ — $H(C7) \cdot \cdot \cdot O(2^{in})$	0.965 (4)	2.410(3)	3.331 (3)	159.5 (6)
$C(5) \rightarrow H(C5) \cdots N(5^{n})$	0.965 (4)	2.597 (3)	3.541 (3)	166.9 (6)
Symmetry codes: (i) x	y, 1 + z; (ii)	$\frac{1}{2} + x, y, \frac{1}{2} -$	- z; (iii) x -	$\frac{1}{2}, y, \frac{3}{2} - z.$

Data were corrected for Lp effects. The structure was solved by direct methods. H atoms were included as fixed contributors at positions found in the difference synthesis and refined with an overall isotropic displacement parameter which converged to 0.064 (4) Å². The refinement was by blocked-matrix leastsquares methods.

Programs used: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985), *SHELX76* (Sheldrick, 1976) and *ORTEP* (Johnson, 1965).

We would like to thank Professor B. M. Craven for many enlightening comments. This work has received partial support from CNPq, FAPESP and FINEP.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters. Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CR1142). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

©1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

References

Foces-Foces, Ma. de la C., Cano, F. H., Claramunt, R. Ma., Fruchier, A. & Elguero, J. (1988). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 97, 1055–1065.

Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Marr, J. J. & Docampo, R. (1986). Rev. Infect. Dis. 8, 884-903.

Mester, B., Elguero, J., Claramunt, R. Ma., Castanys, S., Mascaro, M. L., Osuna, A., Vilaplana, M. J. & Molina, P. (1987). Arch. Pharm. Weinheim Ger. 320, 115-120.

Nagel, R. (1987). Mutat. Res. 191, 17-20.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. University of Cambridge, England.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Voorhis, W. C. van (1990). Drugs, 40, 176-202.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1282-1285

Chiral α -Hydroxy Acids: Racemic 2-Hydroxy-2,3,3-trimethylbutanoic Acid and 2-Hydroxy-2-trimethylsilylpropanoic Acid

ROBERT W. MURRAY, MEGH SINGH AND NIGAM P. RATH

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-St. Louis, 8001 Natural Bridge Road, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA. E-mail: nigan_rath@umsl.edu

(Received 26 April 1995; accepted 20 November 1995)

Abstract

Both $C_7H_{14}O_3$ and $C_6H_{14}O_3Si$ crystallize as racemates from acetone solution, the former incorporating water of crystallization ($C_7H_{14}O_3.0.5H_2O$). The crystal structures display extensive intermolecular hydrogen bonding involving the hydroxy and carboxylic acid groups to give polymeric networks.

Comment

 α -Hydroxy acids are well known for their important roles in biochemistry and in synthetic organic chemistry. More recently they have achieved new prominence because of their importance to the cosmetic industry (Marcet, 1993; Hagan, Parrott & Taylor, 1993; Nowak, 1993; Armengol, 1993). We have recently described the reaction of dimethyldioxirane with some alkynes (Murray & Singh, 1993). In some cases these reactions give α -hydroxy acids as products. Thus, the reaction of 4,4-dimethyl-2-pentyne, (1), with dimethyldioxirane, (2), gave a number of products including a small amount (8%) of 2-hydroxy-2,3,3-trimethylbutanoic acid, (3). Similarly, the reaction of 1-(trimethylsilyl)propyne, (4), with (2) gave 2-hydroxy-2-trimethylsilylpropanoic acid, (5), in 93% yield. Acid (3) was recrystallized from methylene chloride/hexane to give colourless needles which exhibited the same melting-point behaviour as that reported in the literature (Evans & Landor, 1965). The trimethylsilyl-substituted acid (5) was recrystallized from petroleum ether to give colourless needles with melting point 375–377 K. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis demonstrates that both acids crystallize from acetone as racemates.



The solid-state structure of (5) has two unique molecules in the asymmetric unit. The bond angles and distances are consistent with those of the published structures of α -hydroxy acids. Compound (3) crystallizes with half a molecule of water per molecule of acid, the water being derived from incompletely dried acetone solvent. The crystal packing for both α -hydroxy acids involves extensive linear intermolecular hydrogen bonding.

The solid-state structure of (3) forms eight-membered rings due to hydrogen bonding between two carboxy groups related by an inversion centre. The $O \cdots O$ distance for this type of hydrogen bond is 2.702 (3) Å. The O atom of the water molecule hydrogen bonds to two α -hydroxy H atoms. The $O \cdots O$ distances for the hydrogen bonds involving the O atom of the water molecule are 2.723 (3) and 2.775 (3) Å. Each H atom of the water molecule forms two hydrogen bonds to the



Fig. 1. A view of (3) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 75% probability level; H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii. The solvent water molecule is not shown.



Fig. 2. A view of one of the two unique molecules of (5) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level; H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.



Fig. 3. Crystal packing of (3) showing the hydrogen bonding (a-axis projection).

 α -hydroxy O and the carbonyl O atoms, forming fivemembered rings. A packing diagram, with the 'Bu group omitted, is presented in Fig. 3.

Compound (5) also exhibits an extended hydrogenbonding network, involving two sets of three intermolecular hydrogen bonds, and forms eight- and elevenmembered rings. The polymeric network involves both the unique molecules and their symmetry-related pair (related by glide plane). The intermolecular hydrogenbonding distances are in the range 2.616 (4)–2.834 (4) Å. However, no carboxy pairing due to hydrogen bonding was observed for (5). The α -hydroxy H atoms are involved in intramolecular hydrogen bonding with the carbonyl O atom of the carboxy group, with O···O 01 02 03 Cl C2 C3 C4

C5

C6 C7 011



Fig. 4. Crystal packing of (5) showing the hydrogen bonding (b-axis projection).

distances of 2.640(4) and 2.647(4)Å, and forms fivemembered rings. The H atom is bent towards the carbonyl O atom due to this hydrogen-bonding interaction. The hydrogen-bonded network is shown in Fig. 4.

Experimental

Crystals of (3) and (5) used for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by recrystallization from acetone.

Compound (3)

Crystal data C7H14O3.0.5H2O Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $M_r = 155.19$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic C2/creflections $\theta = 4.0 - 10.2^{\circ}$ a = 24.282(5) Å $\mu = 0.099 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ b = 9.544(2) Å T = 128(2) Kc = 7.4120(15) Å Irregular $\beta = 106.60(3)^{\circ}$ V = 1646.1 (6) Å³ Z = 8Colourless $D_x = 1.252 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Siemens R3 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: none 4820 measured reflections 2319 independent reflections 1019 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{\rm int} = 0.1143$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0726$ $wR(F^2) = 0.1573$ S = 1.0862319 reflections 98 parameters H atoms: see below $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0761P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Cell parameters from 16 $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.06$ mm

> $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.09^{\circ}$ $h = -34 \rightarrow 32$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 9$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity decay: 3.1%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.49 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.39 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table 1.	Fraction	nal atomic	coordinates	and	equivalent
iso	tropic dis	placement	parameters ($(\mathring{A}^2)f$	for (3)

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

x	v	2	U_{co}
0.48529 (10)	0.1687(2)	0.0406 (3)	0.0212 (6)
0.43719 (10)	0.0317(2)	-0.1970 (4)	0.0258(6)
0.42644 (10)	0.3968 (2)	-0.1030(3)	0.0190 (5)
0.44580(13)	0.1505 (3)	-0.1020(5)	0.0151 (6)
0.40260(13)	0.2680 (3)	-0.1891(5)	0.0144 (6)
0.34511 (13)	0.2481 (3)	-0.1341(5)	0.0171 (7)
0.35714 (15)	0.2570 (4)	0.0794 (5)	0.0252 (8)
0.31618 (14)	0.1069(3)	-0.2019 (6)	0.0273 (9)
0.30309 (14)	0.3661 (3)	-0.2260 (6)	0.0263 (8)
0.39458 (15)	0.2750(3)	-0.3994 (5)	0.0214(7)
1/2	0.4570(3)	1/4	0.0210(7)

Table 2. Intermolecular hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (3)

	00	0—н	H···O	0—н0
011—H11···O3	2.775 (3)	0.831	1.985	158.3
O2-H2···O1	2.702 (3)	0.825	1.879	174.5
O3—H3· · · O11 [™]	2.723 (3)	0.724	2.106	144.2
Summatry and an	(i) 1	(1) 1		

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, -z; (ii) $x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Compound (5)

Crystal data C6H11O3Si $M_r = 162.26$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 13.141 (3) Å b = 13.741 (3) Å c = 11.551 (2) Å $\beta = 112.36 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1928.9 (7) Å³ Z = 8 $D_{3} = 1.118 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

Siemens R3 diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (SHELXTL; Sheldrick, 1994) $T_{min} = 0.941, T_{max} =$ 1.000 5008 measured reflections 4748 independent reflections 2621 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.0799$ $wR(F^2) = 0.2416$ S = 1.2394643 reflections 205 parameters H atoms: see below $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1649P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 15 reflections $\theta = 5.0 - 16.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.201 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 (2) K Rectangular plate $0.6\,\times\,0.4\,\times\,0.3$ mm Colourless

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.07^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 17$ $k=-19 \rightarrow 0$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 16$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity decay: 24.9%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.583 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.320 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (5)

$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	z	U_{eq}
Si3	0.05596 (9)	0.61716 (9)	0.24884 (9)	0.0525 (3)
Si3′	0.41369(11)	0.06744 (9)	0.22692 (13)	0.0660 (4)
01	0.3110(2)	0.7365(2)	0.2643(2)	0.0618 (8)
O2	0.1502(2)	0.7739(3)	0.1150(3)	0.0669 (9)
03	0.2256 (2)	0.7029(2)	0.4337(2)	0.0472 (6)
01'	0.5460(2)	0.2677 (3)	0.4319(2)	0.0701 (9)
O2′	0.3938(3)	0.2080(2)	0.4454 (3)	0.0691 (9)
03'	0.4678 (2)	0.2527(2)	0.1849(2)	().()498 (6)
Cl	0.2130(3)	0.7471(2)	0.2284 (3)	0.0418 (7)
C2	0.1497 (3)	0.7287(2)	0.3107(3)	0.0381 (7)
C4	-0.0691 (4)	0.6505 (6)	0.1109(4)	0.104 (2)
C5	0.1371 (5)	0.5233 (4)	0.2050(6)	0.094 (2)
C6	0.0160 (4)	0.5752 (4)	0.3779 (4)	0.0758 (14)
C7	0.0863 (4)	0.8198(3)	0.3192 (4)	0.0698 (13)
C1′	0.4572 (3)	0.2323 (3)	0.3840(3)	0.0453 (8)
C2′	0.4051(3)	0.2055(2)	0.2479(3)	0.0391 (7)
C4′	0.3711 (10)	0.0454 (5)	0.0572(6)	0.172 (5)
C5'	0.3218 (6)	0.0020(4)	0.2895(7)	0.121 (3)
C6'	0.5583 (6)	0.0315 (5)	0.3102 (8)	0.146 (4)
C7′	0.2886(3)	0.2453 (4)	0.1883 (4)	0.0655(11)

Table 4. Intermolecular hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °) for (5)

	$\mathbf{O} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{O}$	O—H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$	OH· · · O			
O3 ^µ —H3 ^µ ···O1 ^µ	2.640(3)	0.810	2.213	113.3			
O3 ⁱⁿ H3 ⁱⁿ ····O1′	2.834 (4)	0.810	2.117	147.6			
$O2^{n}$ -H2 ⁿ ···O3 ^m	2.655 (4)	0.803	1.902	155.7			
$O3' - H3' \cdots O1'$	2.647 (4)	0.830	2.309	105.0			
O3′—H3′···O1"	2.747 (4)	0.830	2.009	147.9			
$O2''-H2''\cdots O3'$	2.616 (4)	0.939	1.699	164.4			
Symmetry codes:	(i) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y$	$z_{1}, z_{2} - \frac{1}{2};$ (ii)	1 - x, y				
(iii) $1 - x$, $1 - y$, $1 - z$.							

Methyl group H atoms were refined using a riding model. Hydroxy H atoms were refined with a riding model for (3), allowing torsional freedom, and were freely refined along with the solvent water unique H atom for (5). For riding H atoms, $U(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(X)$, where X is the parent bonded atom. The relatively high residuals are due to poor quality for both compounds and to probable unresolved disorder and crystal decomposition for (5).

For both compounds, data collection: P3/PC Diffractometer Program (Siemens, 1989); cell refinement: P3/PC Diffractometer Program; data reduction: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1994); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXTL; program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXTL; molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

We gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Partial support was also received from the Donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society.

References

Armengol, R. (1993). Parfuem. Kosmet. 74(11), 701-703.

- Evans, R. J. D. & Landor, S. R. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 2553-2559. Hagan, D. B., Parrott, D. T. & Taylor, A. P. (1993). Int. J. Cosmet.
- *Sci.* **15**, 163–173. Marcet, P. (1993). *Cosmet. News*, **16**, 382–385.
- Murray, R. W. & Singh, M. (1993). J. Org. Chem. 58, 5076–5080.
- Nowak, G. A. (1993). Seifen, Oele, Fette, Wachse, 119, 449–450.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1994). SHELXTL. Version 5.0. Siemens Analytical
- X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1989). P3/PC Diffractometer Program. Version 3.13. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 1285-1288

N-Phenyl-4-morpholinecarbothioamide and *N*-(2-Tolyl)-4-morpholinecarbothioamide

Akilan Ramnathan," Kandasamy Sivakumar," Natarajan Srinivasan,^b Narayanasamy Janarthanan,^b Krishnamoorthy Ramadas^b and Hoong-Kun Fun^c

^aDepartment of Physics, Anna University, Madras 600 025, India, ^bCentre for Agrochemical Research, SPIC Science Foundation, 110 Mount Road, Madras 600 032, India, and ^cX-ray Crystallography Laboratory, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia. E-mail: hkfun@usm.my

(Received 2 October 1995; accepted 31 October 1995)

Abstract

The structures of two unsymmetrically substituted thiourea derivatives, $C_{11}H_{14}N_2OS$, (1), and $C_{12}H_{16}N_2OS$, (2), are reported. In (1), the two molecules present in the asymmetric unit show differences in the orientations of the phenyl and cyclohexyl rings. In both of the structures, $N-H\cdots S$ hydrogen bonds link the molecules into chains that run parallel to a crystallographic axis.

Comment

Unsymmetrically substituted thioureas are found to exhibit agrochemical properties (Sarkis & Faisal, 1985; Ramadas, Srinivasan & Janarthanan, 1993). As a part of our investigations on substituted thiourea derivatives, we have determined the crystal structures of two more unsymmetrically substituted thiourea derivatives, (1) and (2).

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CF1021). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

[†] Visiting Postdoctoral Research Fellow at the School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia.